<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attendee Breakdown</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delegates</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsors/Exhibitors</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presenters</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Members</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How would you rate Green Ellis as an MC? a location? Tour:

What do you think of Dunedin as a location? Tour:

Please rate the Technical MC.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Accommodation</th>
<th>Conference Rooms</th>
<th>Food for morning and afternoon</th>
<th>Audio Visual Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Venue:** Forsyth Barr Stadium, Dunedin
**Date:** 14-16 April 2013
**Website:** [ipenzgroup2013.co.nz](http://ipenzgroup2013.co.nz)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Venue:</th>
<th>Food:</th>
<th>Activities:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Sunday Evening: Otago Museum**

**Monday Night: Larnach Castle**
Presentations

8.47  8.39  8.47  8.44
7.55  7.27  8.19  6.00
8.00  10.00 0.00  2.00
4.00

Did we cater for all your requirements?
82% said yes

Do you expect to attend the next conference?
85% said yes

Negative Comments
• Other people's turn
• Will be moved around organisation
• Feeling pretty demoralised right now about why I bothered this year so maybe not
• Generally the theme of the conference didn't come through presentations. It's like an update session and doesn't have much point to it as a whole.
• Very expensive, difficult to justify benefits. Very few consultants this year due to budget constraints.
Was there the right amount of time to question presenters at the conference? 50% of people thought there was too little time and 50% thought it was about right.

Was the information leading up to the conference sufficient in detail? 94% said yes.

Would you recommend this conference to others? 94% said yes.

How many days do you think the conference should run for? 76% of people prefer a 2-day conference.

- 2 days is good, makes quality presentations better. Otherwise too much rubbish.
- Two days was a bit rushed but still okay.

Did you like the Roundtable format? 71% said yes.

Did you like the Concurrent session? 81% said yes.
• Would rather put more into poster and maybe roundtables.

• Roundtable very hit and miss.

• There are pluses and minuses to extending time.

• There may be value in incorporating SNUGS and MUGS into a 3rd day.

• Perhaps increase number - maybe 1/2 day Monday and 1/2 day Tuesday?

• Good opportunity for active participation, collaboration of ideas.

• 2 days is a good length. Some discussions okay need to review topics.

• Need to develop the roundtable format - ensure good attendance - perhaps issue handouts prior to seminars.

• Separate rooms are good for noise / distractions but make it hard to synchronise start / end times and swaps.

• What about panel separations in a large room.
What did we do well?

• Handbook, general organisation
• Timing of everything. Organisation great as usual
• The mix of programme material
• Theme came through in most presentations and a good mix
• Everything
• Venue and lots of update info during the conference. Glenda and her team
• Theming, MC, AV equipment. Beanie in pack was good.
• Getting fire service to provide entertainment at the dinner
• Friendly support. Handy handbook that will be an easy to use, reference tool in work
• Good communication, easy to follow, well organised
• Great organisation as usual, Everything appeared to run smoothly.
• Registration and events as per usual
• Presentations much improved - reviewers very good - much better to listen to

What could we do better?

• Red wine at dinner poor quality, the white was ok
• Just keep doing it well
• Great job, material content and coordination. Need more Q & A time
• Not split the session on Tuesday before lunch, it resulted in confusion and poor attendance.
• Suggestion to reduce the number of contact times if possible
• Better layout of presentation room - long and skinny not as good as deep and narrow.
• Venue advertising / flags.
• Voting slips given out earlier.
• Entrance to the venue was hard to find.
• Also didn’t get info on delegate sharing flight / accommodation as promised.
• Give more guidance to feedback to National Committee.

Look at how we can incorporate AGM in conference (back to the future)
• Try and arrange more distinct parallel sessions (many had overlapping interests hard to choose).